

Present: Gene Harrington, Vice Chair and Acting Chair; and Mike Speltz, alternate

1 2 3

- Also present: Deb Lievens; Marge Badois; and Paul Nickerson (Note: These three
- 4 Commissioners had sought reappointment when their terms expired on December 31, 2014,
- 5 however the Town Council had not yet voted on those reappointments as of the date of this 6 meeting).

7 8

9

10

11

G. Harrington called the meeting to order at 7:30 PM. He also opened the scheduled public hearing to consider an expenditure of \$100,000 for construction of the Londonderry Rail Trail. He noted that the three Commissioners present who have yet to be officially reappointed could speak as members of the public. He then offered Londonderry Trailways member Bob Rimol, 2 Faye Lane, the opportunity to discuss Phase III of the Rail Trail.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

B. Rimol stated that the \$400,000 Phase III project will pave the rail trail from the area of the Irving gas station at Exit 5 to the point on Rockingham Road across from Seasons Lane. Timing for Phase III is critical, he explained, because of the potential creation of Exit 4A off of I-93 which could impact the trail in both Londonderry and Derry. Londonderry Trailways has performed fund raising efforts to raise funds for Phase III and will seek the balance via a citizen's petition on the 2015 Town Warrant for either \$280,000 or \$380,000, depending on the Commission's decision. He noted the location of the Rail Trail within the town's "Green Infrastructure" (a concept resulting from the Town's 2011 Open Space Plan) and stated that it fits well into the new Outdoor Recreation Guide being developed by the Commission. He noted that the Rail Trail will be fully handicap accessible once paved.

24 25

G. Harrington entertained comments and questions from Commissioners.

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

M. Speltz noted for the record that the Commission received an opinion from the Town Attorney through the Town Manager regarding the legality of expending the \$100,000 towards paving of the Phase III portion of the Rail Trail. The Town Attorney determined that because the Town has an agreement with the State to develop the Rail Trail, the Town has an interest in land in the Rail Trail corridor and therefore can invest Town money in it. Although the Commission is not a party to the agreement between the Town and State, the Town Attorney has indicated that the Commission can be a party to a contract with the paving company hired to perform the work. Monies collected from the Land Use Change Tax for use by the Commission can therefore be used in a contract with the selected paving company.

35 36 37

G. Harrington entertained comments and questions from the public.

38

- 39 Ann Chiampa, 28 Wedgewood Drive, questioned whether the trail was fully handicap accessible 40 because of one portion at Clark Road that includes a small incline. B. Rimol explained that the
- 41 incline could not be adjusted without interfering with a fiber optic line buried there by AT&T,



but that those in a wheelchair with assistance from someone should not have any issues using that portion of the trail. A. Chiampa asked if the Commission was moving away from work associated with more natural trails and focusing on paved trails. G. Harrington stated that aiding in the development of this paved trail will help provide better access to residents and others of the natural areas conserved in town. M. Speltz explained that it would be incorrect to characterize the Commission as changing their emphasis because of this one possible transaction. A. Chiampa asked how much money is available for the Commission to consider land transactions. While she did not have the exact figures, D. Lievens replied that there is approximately \$1.5 million available to the Commission specifically (a percentage of the LUCT goes to the Town's general fund each year after a certain amount is accumulated), and that the majority of that is earmarked for this project as well as two other potential land transactions the Commission is considering. A. Chiampa asked about other specifics related to the trail, but since they had no bearing on this public hearing, G. Harrington suggested those questions be made directly to Londonderry Trailways outside of this meeting. Ray Breslin, 3 Gary Drive, asked a question pertaining to land that would be involved in Phase IV, but again, G. Harrington noted that the question could be pursued outside the meeting as it had no bearing on the issue at hand. D. Lievens spoke as a member of the public to state that Commissioner Mike Considine, who was unable to attend this public hearing, is in support of this transaction.

596061

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

There was no further public input.

62 63

64

65

66

67

G. Harrington explained that without a quorum of Commissioners present (see explanation under "Also Present" above), a vote would have to wait until the regular January 27, 2015 Commission meeting. B. Rimol asked for guidance regarding whether to submit the citizen's petition for \$280,000 or \$380,000 since only one could be submitted. While he could not make any guarantee, G. Harrington suggested that consensus from the Commission suggested that the transaction would probably be approved on the 27<sup>th</sup>.

68 69 70

71

As there were no further comments or questions, G. Harrington announced that a formal vote would take place during the Commission's January 27 meeting and closed the public hearing at approximately 7:45

72 73 74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

School House Square CUP- At their June 24, 2014 meeting, the Commission recommended approval to the State Wetlands Bureau of a Dredge and Fill (D+F) permit application to fill 9,860 square feet of wetland on Map 12 Lots 57 and 60 for a residential site plan (see June 24, 2014 minutes; School House Square). That D+F was ultimately approved by the Department of Environmental Sciences. The applicant overlooked obtaining a recommendation for their Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to be considered as part of their overall approval by the Planning Board on January 7. Project engineer Jack Szemplinski therefore presented the CUP application at this meeting in preparation of the following evening's Planning Board meeting. By filling the wetland to create the proposed private road into the development, J. Szemplinski explained



that the wetland itself would be bisected and only the portion south of the road would be large enough to trigger the Conservation Overlay District 50-foot wetland buffer. Grading associated with the roadway would result in 2,600 sf of buffer impact. J. Szemplinski provided D. Lievens with a copy of the CUP application. Since a quorum was not present, the Commission could not vote, but there were no objections to the application from those present. D. Lievens stated that even though she has not yet been officially reappointed, she will still write a memo from the Commission to the Planning Board stating there was a consensus of support to recommend approval, but also explaining that because the Town Council postponed reappointments of three Commissioners, a voting quorum was not present. The memo will also note that an official vote of a positive recommendation will take place at the next Commission meeting on January 27.

93 94 95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

I-93 D+F Mitigation Package- The Commission recently received a Dredge and Fill (D+F) permit application from the NH Department of Transportation (DOT) related to wetland impacts associated with the ongoing improvements being made to I-93. Due to the size of the submission, Commissioners authorized the Chair at their December 16, 2014 meeting to send a Letter of Intervention to the Wetlands Bureau, requesting that action on the application be suspended to allow the Commission to perform the necessary review before commenting. M. Speltz reviewed the detailed application and met with officials from DOT on January 5 regarding the identified impacts that are beyond what was previously addressed in the last 2010 I-93 Environmental Impact Study (EIS). Ten additional acres of wetland will be impacted by the planned improvements to the I-93 corridor between Salem and Manchester, NH and DES has determined a value of \$1.9 million for mitigation purposes within the corridor, although they have not yet finalized how it will be spent. M. Speltz stated that the D+F report identifies the majority of that impact as taking place in Londonderry and suggested to DOT officials that the mitigation funds be split between the towns proportionally. While he was told that was a possibility, he was also told that right now there are no guarantees, nor were there any the last time mitigation funds were given to the towns. DOT has stated that half of the funds could be placed in the Aquatic Resource Mitigation (ARM) fund of the Department of Environmental Services (DES) to benefit wetland restoration and protection within the Merrimack Valley watershed. The remainder, he was told, could be put towards projects that will identify what inadequate culverts and/or those that pose obstacles to wildlife movement, but it was not even clear to M. Speltz that those culverts would be within the portion of the corridor in Londonderry. Furthermore, he noted that this \$1.9 million should be in addition to funds set aside for mitigation based on the last EIS, however he said the DOT representatives he met with did not necessarily agree with that assumption.

Because the D+F permit most likely cannot be issued without comments from certain groups, including the Commission, and in view of the lack of a quorum, M. Speltz asked for consensus from those present that he be authorized to draft a letter on the Commission's behalf. The letter would outline the points made above and could be signed by the Vice Chair and sent to DES after obtaining his approval. He said a map would be included from the Town's



GIS Manager of land in Londonderry where the town's Green Infrastructure intersects with wetlands in order to suggest specific projects that could benefit from the mitigation funds being offered. Since the Commission would not meet again until the end of the month, M. Speltz asked for the informal authorization. Consensus from those present was for M. Speltz to draft a letter as discussed for the Vice Chair to approve and sign.

M. Speltz added that at the meeting with DOT officials, he received copies of baseline documentation for properties purchased by the State as part of the initial mitigation package. All properties are now State owned. M. Speltz suggested to DOT that the fee interest in those lands be transferred to the Town and subsequently placed under conservation easements to be held by another entity, e.g. the Southeast Land Trust. He said the response seemed favorable, but DOT officials noted that some of the properties come with a requirement from DES of monitoring for five years, therefore those may not be available for transfer right away.

NE Cottontail Rabbit- D. Lievens said she was informed by NH Fish and Game (F & G) that they will be hosting a daytime presentation on January 26 in partnership with Northeast Utilities regarding possible grant funding from the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation for the creation of habitat for the endangered NE Cottontail. They are seeking to hold the presentation at Town Hall since NH F&G would like to use the funds to create the necessary habitat in the Musquash Conservation Area in addition to habitat created there in the last couple of years. Public officials are being invited to the event and D. Lievens encouraged Commissioners to attend if possible. It was decided to have D. Lievens express to NH F&G that the Commission is supportive of the idea and to have F&G inform the Commission of any assistance needed when applying for the grant funds.

# <u>DRCs-</u> 1. <u>572 Mammoth Road Two-Lot Subdivision; Map 17 Lot 7</u> Comments: No issues.

#### 2. Town Fair Tire Site Plan; Map 7 Lot 73-2

153 plan for

Comments: The plan should reference the requirement to develop a management

the subsurface infiltration system. The plan should require written reports to DPW documenting ongoing performance and maintenance. (Staff note: If the plan does reference the requirements for the plan and/or written reports, the Commission could not locate it).

### 3. 41 Adams Road Two Lot Subdivision; Map 6 Lot 81

Comments: No issues.

<u>NHACC Survey</u>- D. Lievens said she would send this survey being requested by the NH Association of Conservation Commissions to Commissioners via email and comments can be



165 166	discussed at the January 27 meeting.
167	Musquash Field Day- Commissioners briefly discussed preparations for this event to be held on
168	February 7, including creation of road signage and the distribution of flyers by Commissioners
169	to local businesses and public places.
170	
171	The meeting adjourned by consensus at approximately 8:40 PM.
172	
173	Respectfully submitted,
174	
175	Jaye Trottier
176	Associate Planner